

Validation Report

Calculator for Extrapolation of Net Weight in Conjunction with a Hypergeometric Sampling Plan

(Revised 2/4/2019)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Introduction	3
2. Definitions	4
3. Software	8
4. Validation	8
4.1. Estimation of Weight	9
4.2. Hypergeometric Sampling	10
4.3. Reported Weight Extrapolation	11
5. Conclusion	13
6. Contact	13
7. Appendix A	14

1. INTRODUCTION

Forensic laboratories performing seized drug analysis often receive submissions comprised of numerous similar containers (i.e. envelopes, bags, vials) each purportedly containing a controlled substance. Forensic analysis is performed usually in accordance with statutory mandates listing specific threshold weight values.

By viewing the totality of items in a submission as a population, compliance with statutory mandates becomes a matter of the proportion of the population containing a particular controlled substance, by weight. A conventional approach to determine this proportion is to weight and chemically identify the contents of all individual containers necessary to reach a threshold amount. For submissions with a large number of containers, i.e., 30 or more, this approach becomes very time consuming and laborious. Alternately, analysts can take the following steps¹:

- 1. weigh a sample of the population
- 2. calculate the average weight per unit
- 3. determine the proportion of the population that would need to be inferred to contain a controlled substance to meet or exceed a statutory weight threshold
- 4. use a hypergeometric sampling plan to calculate the number of units to analyze based on such inference
- 5. determine and report the weight and identity of the proportion of the population inferred to be positive.

SWGDRUG has developed an Excel based calculator to aid in the performance of weight estimations in conjunction with a hypergeometric sampling plan. This document validates the accuracy of the input and output parameters of the calculator.

¹ See SWGDRUG Supplemental Document SD-6 for discussion on measurement uncertainty for extrapolations of net weight and unit count.

2. DEFINITIONS

Symbols	Equations	Description	Reference Cell(s)	SD-6
				Reference
Ν		Population size; number of total units	K3:L3	A.1
n		Sample size; number of units weighed	D30:E30	A.2
		Sample size; theoretical number of units analyzed	S (hidden)	B.2
Х		Net weight of each individual unit	B14:C28,E14:F28,	A.3
			H14:I28,K14:L28	
X	$x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \dots$	Average weight of the measured units using the	D32:E32	A.4
	n	arithmetic mean		
S	$\sqrt{\sum (x-\overline{x})^2}$	Sample Standard Deviation a measure of how widely	D34:E34	A.4
	$\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n-1}}$	values are dispersed from the average value (the mean)		
RSD	s/ X	Relative Standard Deviation ratio of the sample standard	D36:E36	A.4
		deviation (s) and the average weight (\overline{X})		
u _w		Standard uncertainty (unexpanded) associated with the	J10:L10	A.5
		balance		
u⊼	<u></u>	Standard uncertainty (unexpanded) of the calculated	D38:E38	A.6
	\sqrt{n}	average weight (\overline{X}) . Uncertainty encompasses the		
		standard deviation as well as the number of		
		measurements preformed. The square root of the		
		sample size is used to account for the standard		
		deviation.		
uc	$\sqrt{u_x^2 + u_w^2}$	Combined uncertainty for the average weight per unit	D40:E40	A.7
		considering the uncertainty contribution from the		
		weighing equipment. The root-sum-squared method is		
		used to combine the uncertainties.		
W	N * X	Extrapolated net weight; estimated total weight from	K36:L36	A.8
		the average weight (\overline{X}) of the samples selected		
		multiplied by the total numbers of samples (N)		

Symbols	Equations	Description	Reference Cell(s)	SD-6 Reference
ut	N * <i>uc</i>	Extrapolated uncertainty (unexpanded) associated with the Extrapolated NW (W). This is obtained by multiplying the total number of samples (N) with the combined uncertainty (u_c) .	n/a	A.8
α		Significance level; a predefined value that represents the risk of being "wrong" in reaching a conclusion. This probability is a suitably small number between 0 and 1.	n/a	B.2
CL	(1-α)	Confidence level; the predefined threshold value that represents the confidence in the conclusion. This probability corresponds to α resulting in a number between 0 and 1 (i.e. a 0.99 CL has an α of 0.01).	K30:L30 for weight extrapolations, D43:E43 for sampling	A.9 B.2
	(1-P _n)	The hypergeometric distribution is used to calculate the CL (1-P _n) for incremental sample sizes (n, n+1, etc.) until it is equal to or greater than the desired CL (1- α).	Q (hidden)	
	Overall	The level of confidence associated with combining the weight extrapolation and sampling inference (identity) calculated via the Bonferroni correction or multiplication rule.	A57:M57	B.6
P	$P_{n} = P_{sample 1} * P_{sample 2} * \dots * P_{sample n}$ $= \frac{(Samples that must be+) - 1}{N}$ $* \frac{(Samples that must be+) - 2}{N - 1} * \dots$ $* \frac{(Samples that must be+) - n}{N - (n - 1)}$	Probability of a specific event occurring for a specified sample size (n). As P_n decreases, confidence level increases. A P_n value of 0.01 is equal to a 0.99 CL. The hypergeometric distribution is used to calculate the minimum sample size that has to be analyzed by incrementally calculating the probability for each scenario until it is less than the threshold index for evaluation of confidence (α).	T (hidden)	B.2

Symbols	Equations	Description	Reference Cell(s)	SD-6 Reference
k		Coverage factor obtained from a two tailed Student's t- distribution with n-1 degrees of freedom. As n increases with more samples, k decreases for a given confidence level.	K32:L32	A.9
Lowest NW (sample)	X – (k * u _c)	The lowest net weight estimated for a sample within a predefined confidence level. This is determined by subtracting the combined uncertainty for the average weight per unit (u_c) from the average weight of the measured units (\overline{X}).	D34:E34	B.1 (denominator)
UT	$= k * u_t$ = k * N * u _c = k * N * $\sqrt{u_x^2 + u_w^2}$	Expanded extrapolated uncertainty; expands the extrapolated uncertainty (u _c) by the appropriate cover factor (k) to account for the desired confidence level in the uncertainty.	K38:L38	A.9
Lowest Extrapolated Net Weight	W-U _T	The lowest total net weight estimated for the total population within a predefined confidence level. This is determined by subtracting the expanded extrapolated uncertainty (U _T) from the extrapolated NW (W).	K40:L40	A.10
Units inferred to be (+)	Statutory threshold weight / \overline{X} (round up to the nearest integer)	The number of units inferred to contain a controlled substance in order to meet or exceed the threshold statutory weight. This becomes the population size (N) for reported net weight extrapolation.	K43:L43	B.1
>CL(1)/ <cl(0)< td=""><td>GESTEP (Excel function)</td><td>Logical test to determine when the CL for incremental sample sizes is greater than the threshold value. The cell will return 1 when this condition is met.</td><td>R (hidden)</td><td>n/a</td></cl(0)<>	GESTEP (Excel function)	Logical test to determine when the CL for incremental sample sizes is greater than the threshold value. The cell will return 1 when this condition is met.	R (hidden)	n/a
Units to be analyzed	VLOOKUP (Excel function)	The minimum number of units to be analyzed is determined by returning the integer of n relating to a confidence level equal to or greater than the threshold CL value.	K45:L45	B.2

Symbols	Equations	Description	Reference Cell(s)	SD-6 Reference
Reported NW	Units inferred to be (+) * \overline{X} (truncate)	The total reported extrapolated net weight, in grams, of the units inferred to be positive. In SD-6, this value is truncated to same level of significance (decimal places) as the reported U_T .	C49:D49	B.3
Reported U _T	= $(u_t * k)$ = $(n * uc * k)$ = $(n * \sqrt{u_x^2 + u_w^2} * k)$ (round)	Reported expanded extrapolated uncertainty associated with the Reported NW of the units inferred to be positive and needed to meet the minimum statutory requirement. In SD-6, this value is rounded up to 2 significant figures. It may be necessary to round to less significant figures if the rounded U _T exceeds the readability of the balance.	C51:D51	B.4
Lower Limit Upper Limit	LL = (Reported NW) – (Reported U_T) UL = (Reported NW) + (Reported U_T)	The reported NW range, in grams, at the specified level of confidence.	C53:D53,C55:D55	B.5
ozs.	Reported NW / 28.3495 LL / 28.3495 UL / 28.3495	Conversion of the reported NW, U_T , lower limit (LL) and upper limit (UL), to ounces.	G49:H49,G51:H51 G53:H53,G55:H55	n/a
lbs.	LL / 28.3495 / 16 UL / 28.3495 / 16	Conversion of the reported NW, U_T , lower limit (LL) and upper limit (UL), to pounds.	J49:K49,J51:K51 J53:K53,J55:K55	n/a

3. SOFTWARE

The validation has been performed with Excel[®] 2013 and 2016 via comparison with the European Network of Forensic Science Institutes (ENFSI) Drug Working Group (DWG) Calculator for Qualitative Sampling of Seized Drugs, version July 2017.

4. VALIDATION

The spreadsheet demonstrates the calculations in Examples 1 and 2 of SD-6 "Measurement Uncertainty for Extrapolations of Net Weight and Unit Count". It is designed to fit the vast majority of seized drug submissions allowing for use with multi-unit populations of 5000 or less and an input of 60 or less net weights.

For easier handling of the calculator, mandatory entry cells are highlighted yellow with the exception of the net weight entry fields. Formula cells are password protected to prohibit inadvertent changes to the spreadsheet. Additionally, data validation will alert the user when invalid entries are input in the population size, weight, uncertainty and confidence level cells. Lastly, the net weight entry cells are conditionally formatted to display the number of decimal places (0 to 6) corresponding to the balance readability (1 g to 0.001 mg).

The worksheet is divided into 3 sections:

- -Estimation of Weight (SD-6 Example 1)
- -Hypergeometric Sampling (SD-6 Example 2 B.1 to B.2)
- -Reported Weight Extrapolation (SD-6 Example 3 B.3 to B.6)

Five datasets of various weights and population sizes were put into the calculator. The final output for each section was compared with the validated ENFSI calculator. See Appendix A for raw data entries and the corresponding ENFSI results in green.

4.1. Estimation of Weight

The first section of the calculator is titled "Extrapolation of Net Weight (NW)" and calculates the estimated net weight for the entire population based on the weight of a subset of units.

	Scientifi	c Work	ing Group	for the	Analysis o	f Seize	d Drugs	
Case #:					Population	Size (N):		
Analyst:			Balance	ID:	Total	Gross Weig	ght (g):	
Extrapola	tion of Net Weig	ght (NW)	Balance Read	dability:]	u _w (u	nexpanded):	
Unit:	Net Weight (g):	Unit:	Net Weight (g):	Unit:	Net Weight (g):	Unit:	Net Weight (g):	
Samp	ole Size (n)	0			Confidence Lo	evel (1-α)		
Avera	age NW (X)	#DIV/	0! grams		Student-	t (k)	#NUM!	
Std	. Dev. (s)	#DIV/	0! grams		Lowest NW (sample)	#DIV/0! gra	ams
	RSD	#DIV/	0!		Extrapolated	NW (W)	#DIV/0! gra	ams
	u⊼	#DIV/	0! grams		UT		#NUM! gra	ams
	u _c	#DIV/	0! grams		Lowest Extrapo	plated NW	#DIV/0! gra	ams

The parameters in this section are calculated using the following Excel formulas: Sample size (n) =COUNT(B14:C28,E14:F28,H14:I28,K14:L28) Average NW (\overline{X}) =AVERAGE(B14:C28,E14:F28,H14:I28,K14:L28) Standard deviation (s) =STDEV.S(B14:C28,E14:F28,H14:I28,K14:L28) RSD =D34/D32 cell is formatted a percentage Standard uncertainty of the average NW ($u_{\overline{X}}$) =D34/SQRT(D30) Combined uncertainty of \overline{X} and balance (u_c) =SQRT(SUMSQ(D38,J10)) Student-t (k) =T.INV.2T((1-K30),D30-1) Lowest NW (sample) =D32-(K32*D40) Extrapolated NW (W) =D32*K3 Expanded combined uncertainty (U_T) =K3*K32*SQRT(SUMSQ(D38,J10)) Lowest Extrapolated NW =K36-K38

The extrapolated net weight (W) and associated expanded combined uncertainty (U_T) was compared with the ENFSI estimations for each dataset. It should be noted that the ENFSI calculator applies a finite correction factor, Q_{corr} , if n/N > 0.1 which lessens the total uncertainty. Thus, the SWGDRUG calculator results in more conservative uncertainties for Datasets 2, 4 and 5. Because the terminal output is in agreement, Excel formulas are valid and correct for this section of the calculator.

4.2. Hypergeometric Sampling

The second section of the calculator is titled "Hypergeometric Sampling" and uses the NW estimation from the first section to determine the number of units that *must be demonstrated (inferred) to contain a controlled substance* in order to meet a minimum statutory weight. Subsequently, this section statistically determines the minimum number of units that *must be analyzed* to allow such an inference, that the amount of material containing a controlled substance is enough to exceed the statutory weight.

Hypergeometric Sampli	ng		
Confidence Level (1-α)		Units inferred to be (+)	#DIV/0!
Minimum Statutory Wt.	grams	Units to be analyzed	#N/A

The parameters in this section are calculated using the following Excel formulas: Units inferred to be (+) = ROUNDUP(D45/K34,0)

Units to be analyzed =VLOOKUP(1,R4:S1044,2,FALSE)

*Theoretical number of items tested (n) =IF(\$K\$3-(\$K\$3-1)<\$K\$3,\$K\$3-(\$K\$3-1),\$K\$3) ; =IF(\$K\$3-(\$K\$3-1-S#)<\$K\$3, \$K\$3-(\$K\$3-1-S#), \$K\$3)

*Probability that fewer than the 'Units inferred to be (+)' exists given various theoretical sample sizes (P) =HYPGEOM.DIST(S#,S#,\$K\$43-1,\$K\$3,FALSE)

*Level of confidence that at least the `Units inferred to be (+)' exists given various theoretical sample sizes (CL) =1-HYPGEOM.DIST(S#,S#,\$K\$43-1,\$K\$3,FALSE) *Logical test that returns 0 when the theoretical confidence level is less than the threshold confidence level and 1 when it is met or exceeded (>CL(1)/<CL(0)) =GESTEP(Q#,\$D\$43) *indicated hidden column "#" denotes incremental row number(s)

The number of samples that must be analyzed agrees with the ENFSI estimations for each dataset. Because this terminal output has been verified, Excel formulas

4.3. Reported Weight Extrapolation

are valid and correct for this section of the calculator.

The third section of the calculator is titled "Reported Weight Extrapolation" and produces the reported NW and uncertainty resulting from the hypergeometric sampling procedure and using the average net weight per unit determined in the first section.

Reported Weigh	t Extrapolation			
Reported NW	#DIV/0! grar	#DIV/0!	ozs.	#DIV/0! Ibs.
Reported U _T	#DIV/0! gran	s #DIV/0!	ozs.	#DIV/0! Ibs.
Lower Limit	#DIV/0! gran	s #DIV/0!	ozs.	#DIV/0! Ibs.
Upper Limit	#DIV/0! gran	#DIV/0!	ozs.	#DIV/0! Ibs.
The overall leve	I of confidence for weig	ht and identity is at lea	ast 0%.	

The parameters in this section are calculated by the following Excel formulas: Reported NW =K43*D32 grams; =C49/28.3495 ounces; =C49/28.3495/16 pounds

```
Reported U_T = K43*K32*SQRT(SUMSQ(D38,J10)) grams; =C51/28.3495 ounces; =C51/28.3495/16 pounds
```

```
Lower Limit =C49-C51 grams; =C53/28.3495 ounces; =C53/28.3495/16 pounds
Upper Limit =C49+C51 grams; =C55/28.3495 ounces; =C55/28.3495/16 pounds
```

The reported net weight (NW) and associated uncertainty (U_T) was compared to the ENFSI estimations for each dataset. It should be noted that the ENFSI calculator applies a finite correction factor, Q_{corr} , if n/N > 0.1 which decreases the total uncertainty. Thus, the SWGDRUG calculator results in a more conservative uncertainty for Dataset 2. For Reported Weight Extrapolations, the sample size (n) is considered the number of units weighed and the population (N) is adjusted to the number of units inferred to be positive as denoted with an asterisk (*) for each dataset in Appendix A. The ENFSI calculator is unable to calculate the uncertainty associated with Datasets 4 and 5 due to n exceeding N. The Q_{corr} returns a negative value for Dataset 4 (N = 6 and n = 40) and 5 (N = 21 and n = 45). Thus, comparison to ENFSI is not possible. These values were calculated by hand to be 2.50 and 136 for Datasets 4 and 5 respectively. Because this terminal output is in agreement, Excel formulas are valid and correct for this section of the calculator.

5. CONCLUSION

The SWGDRUG Calculator for Extrapolation of Net Weight in Conjunction with a Hypergeometric Sampling Plan version 1.0 is valid and fit for purpose.

6. CONTACT

Please address questions, report errors and/or bugs found in the Excel software or within this document to swgdrug@hotmail.com.

7. APPENDIX A

	Scientif	ic Work	ing Group	for the	Analysis o	f Seize	d Drugs	
Case #:	Dataset 1				Population	Size (<i>N</i>):	500	
Unit #:	1-500				<u> </u>			
Analyst:	MB		Balance	ID:	Total	Gross Weig	aht (a):	
			B5096388	893	1	170.42	5 (5)	
			L					
Extrapola	tion of Net Wei	ght (NW)						
			Balance Rea	dability:	-	u _w (u	nexpanded):	
			0.1 m	g		0.0	003100537	
Unit:	Net Weight (g):	Unit:	Net Weight (g):	Unit:	Net Weight (g):	Unit:	Net Weight (g):	
1	0.0490	16	0.0433					
2	0.0333	17	0.0543					
3	0.0394	18	0.0455					
4	0.0499	19	0.0400					
5	0.0466	20	0.0534					
6	0.0385	21	0.0424					
7	0.0553	22	0.0534					
8	0.0468	23	0.0448					
9	0.0475	24	0.0503					
10	0.0693	25	0.0409					
11	0.0511	20	0.0467					
12	0.0415	2/	0.0341					
14	0.0445	20	0.0494					
14	0.0492	30	0.0409					
15	0.0312		0.0421					
Samp	le Size (n)	30			Confidence Le	evel (1-α)	0.99	
Avera	ıge NW (X)	0.046686	6667 grams		Student-	t (k)	2.756385904	
Std	. Dev. (s)	0.007046	6923 grams		Lowest NW (sample)	0.037433814	grams
	RSD	15.1%	%		Extrapolated	NW (W)	23.34333333	grams
	u⊼	0.00128	6586 grams		U _T		4.62642642	grams
	u _c	0.003356	6879 grams		Lowest Extrapo	plated NW	18.71690691	grams
Hypergeo	metric Sampliı	ng						
Confiden	ice Level (1-α)	0.99)		Units inferred	to be (+)	401	
Minimum	Statutory Wt.	15	grams		Units to be a	nalyzed	21	
Reported	Weight Extrap	olation	*Adjusted N = U	nits inferre	d to be (+) = 401 ar	nd n = Samp	ble Size = 30	
Reporte	d NW 18.	72135333	grams	0.660376	844 ozs.	0.0412	273553 lbs.	
Reporte	ed U _T 3.7	10393989	grams	0.130880	403 ozs.	0.008	180025 lbs.	
Lower I	Limit 15.0	01095934	grams	0.529496	441 ozs.	0.0330	093528 lbs.	
Upper L	_imit 22.4	43174732]grams [0.791257	247 ozs.	0.0494	453578 Ibs.	
The overa	all level of conf	idence for	weight and ide	ntity is at	least 98.01%.			

	Scientific Working Group for the Analysis of Seized Drugs								
Case #:	Dataset 2				F	Population	Size (N):	50	
Unit #:	1-50				-				
Analyst:	TR		Balance	ID:		Total	Gross Weid	aht (a):	
<u></u>			B7469998	853] Г		170.42	<u>(3)</u> .	
					J L				
Extrapolat	tion of Net Wei	ght (NW)							
			Balance Rea	dability:	-		u _w (u	nexpanded):	
			0.001	g]		0.0	001496364	
Unit:	Net Weight (g):	Unit:	Net Weight (g):	Unit:	Net W	eight (g):	Unit:	Net Weight (g):	
1	0.490	16	0.433						
2	0.333	17	0.543						
3	0.394	18	0.455						
4	0.499	19	0.400						
5	0.466	20	0.534						
6	0.385	21	0.424						
7	0.553	22	0.534						
8	0.468	23	0.448						
9	0.475	24	0.503						
10	0.693	25	0.409						
11	0.511	26	0.467						
12	0.415	27	0.341						
13	0.445	28	0.494						
14	0.492	29	0.469						
15	0.512	30	0.421						
Samp	le Size (<i>n</i>)	30			Con	fidence Le	evel (1-α)	0.99	
Avera	ge NW (X)	0.466866	6667 grams			Student-	t (k)	2.756385904	
Std	. Dev. (s)	0.070469	9232 grams		Lo	westNW (sample)	0.431164337	grams
	RSD	15.1%	6		Ext	trapolated	NW (W)	23.34333333 23.343	grams
	u⊼	0.012865	5863 grams			UT		1.7851165	grams
	u _c	0.012952	2588 grams		Lowe	est Extrapo	plated NW	21.55821683	grams
Hypergeo	metric Samplir	na							
Confiden	ce Level (1-α)	0.99			Unit	s inferred	to be (+)	35	
Minimum	Statutory Wt.	15	grams		Un	its to be a	nalyzed	11	
Reported	Weight Extrapo	olation	*Adjusted N = U	nits inferre	d to be ((+) = 35 and	d n = Sampl	e Size = 30	
Reported	d NW 16.3	34033333 6 340*	grams	0.576388	766 0	ozs.	0.0360	024298 Ibs.	
Reporte	ed U _T 1.2	4958155	grams	0.044077	728 0	ozs.	0.0027	754858 Ibs.	
Lower L	imit 15.0	9075178	grams	0.532311	038 0	ozs.	0.033	26944 Ibs.	
Upper L	imit 17.5	58991488]grams	0.620466	494 o	DZS.	0.0387	779156 Ibs.	
The overa	Il level of conf	idence for	weight and ide	ntity is at	least 9	8 01%			

	Scientific Working Group for the Analysis of Seized Drugs								
Case #:	Dataset 3				Population	Size (<i>N</i>):	1512		
<u>Unit #:</u>	1-1512								
Analyst:	MB		Balance	ID:	Total	Gross Weig	Iht (g):		
			6656			448.65			
Extrapolat	tion of Net Weig	ght (NW)	Delense Dee	-le h : :4			a a vera a da alta		
			Balance Read	ability:	ו ר	u _w (u	nexpanded):		
			0.1 Mg	5]	0.0	03100537		
Unit:	Net Weight (g):	Unit:	Net Weight (g):	Unit:	Net Weight (g):	Unit:	Net Weight (g):		
1	0.0387	16	0.0418	31	0.0310	46	0.0257		
2	0.0278	17	0.0266	32	0.0237	47	0.0242		
3	0.0191	18	0.0248	33	0.0472	48	0.0282		
4	0.0267	19	0.0412	34	0.0275	49	0.0237		
5	0.0511	20	0.0190	35	0.0320	50	0.0331		
6	0.0227	21	0.0275	36	0.0244	51	0.0243		
7	0.0391	22	0.0378	37	0.0387	52	0.0276		
8	0.0303	23	0.0209	38	0.0366	53	0.0295		
9	0.0239	24	0.0272	39	0.0249	54	0.033		
10	0.0223	25	0.0415	40	0.0227	55	0.0273		
11	0.0241	26	0.0327	41	0.0323	56	0.025		
12	0.0314	27	0.0291	42	0.0277	57	0.0292		
13	0.0283	28	0.0552	43	0.0340	58	0.0278		
14	0.0304	29	0.0260	44	0.0257	59	0.0498		
15	0.0334	30	0.0273	45	0.0320	60	0.0358		
Samp	le Size (<i>n</i>)	60			Confidence Le	evel (1-α)	0.95		
Avera	ge NW (X)	0.03054	1667 grams		Student-	t (k)	2.000995378		
Std	. Dev. (s)	0.007750	0326 grams		Lowest NW (sample)	0.024022457	grams	
	RSD	25.4%	%		Extrapolated	NW (W)	46.179 46.179	grams	
	u⊼	0.00100	0563 grams		U _T		9.85704501 9.857	grams	
	u _c	0.00325	7983 grams		Lowest Extrapo	plated NW	36.32195499	grams	
Hypergeo	metric Samplin	g							
Confiden	ce Level (1-α)	0.95	5		Units inferred	to be (+)	625		
Minimum	Statutory Wt.	15	grams		Units to be a	nalyzed	4		
Reported	Weight Extrapo	olation	*Adjusted N = Ur	nits inferre	d to be (+) = 625 ar	nd n = Samp	le Size = 60		
Reported	d NW 19.0	8854167 9.089*	grams	0.673329	0042 ozs.	0.0420	083065 lbs.		
Reporte	ed U _T 4.07	4506039	grams	0.143724	088 ozs.	0.0089	982756 Ibs.		
Lower L	imit 15.0	1403563	grams	0.529604	953 ozs.	0.033	10031 lbs.		
Upper L	.imit 23.1	6304771	grams	0.81705	313 ozs.	0.0510	065821 lbs.		
The overa	The overall level of confidence for weight and identity is at least 90.25%.								

	Scientifi	c Work	king Group	for the	Analysis o	f Seize	d Drugs	
Case #:	Dataset 4				Population	n Size (<i>N</i>):	151	
Unit #:	1-151							
Analyst:	TR		Balance	ID:	Total	Gross Weig	ght (g):	
			24AP8	3		479.81		
Extrapolat	tion of Net Weig	ght (NW)						
			Balance Rea	dability:		u _w (u	nexpanded):	
			0.01 g		_	0.	073617359	
Unit:	Net Weight (g):	Unit:	Net Weight (g):	Unit:	Net Weight (g):	Unit:	Net Weight (g):	
1	3.87	16	4.18	31	3.10]		
2	2.78	17	2.66	32	2.37			
3	1.91	18	2.48	33	4.72			
4	2.67	19	4.12	34	2.75			
5	5.11	20	1.90	35	3.20			
6	2.27	21	2.75	36	2.44	_		
7	3.91	22	3.78	37	3.87			
8	3.03	23	2.09	38	3.66	-		
9	2.39	24	2.72	39	2.49			
10	2.23	25	4.15	40	2.27	-		
11	2.41	26	3.27			-		
12	3.14	27	2.91			-		
13	2.03	20	2.60			-		
14	3.34	30	2.73			-		
Samp	le Size (<i>n</i>)	40			Confidence L	evel (1-α)	0.99	
Avera	ge NW (X)	3.09	15 grams		Student	-t (k)	2.707913184	
Std	. Dev. (s)	0.85685	9352 grams		Lowest NW ((sample)	2.673965477	grams
	RSD	27.7	%		Extrapolated	I NW (W)	466.8165 466.817	grams
	u⊼	0.13548	1359 grams		U _T		63.04771292 54.056	grams
	u _c	0.15419	0513 grams		Lowest Extrap	olated NW	403.7687871	grams
Hypergeol Confiden	metric Samplin ce Level (1-α)	g 0.99	9		Units inferred	to be (+)	6	
Minimum	Statutory Wt.	15	grams		Units to be a	nalyzed	2	
Reported	Weight Extrapo	lation	*Adjusted N = Ur	nits inferre	ed to be (+) = 6 and	n = Sample	Size = 40	

Scientific Working Group for the Analysis of Seized Drugs								
Case #:	Dataset 5				Population	n Size (<i>N</i>):	160	
Unit #:	1.1-1.160							
Analyst:	MB		Balance	ID:	Total	Gross Weig	ght (g):	
			E8002S			82464		
Extrapola	tion of Net Wei	ght (NW)						
			Balance Readability:		7	u _w (unexpanded):		1
			1g			0.839052045]
Unit:	Net Weight (g):	Unit:	Net Weight (g):	Unit:	Net Weight (g):	Unit:	Net Weight (g):	
1.1	498	1.16	497	1.31	493]]
1.2	502	1.17	503	1.32	496			
1.3	505	1.18	504	1.33	505			
1.4	497	1.19	499	1.34	502			
1.5	508	1.20	509	1.35	498			
1.6	501	1.21	500	1.36	507			
1.7	500	1.22	499	1.37	510			
1.8	497	1.23	498	1.38	494			
1.9	496	1.24	495	1.39	503			
1.10	494	1.25	496	1.40	500			
1.11	502	1.26	503	1.41	499			_
1.12	503	1.27	506	1.42	499			_
1.13	500	1.28	500	1.43	502			_
1.14	507	1.29	502	1.44	497			-
1.15	505	1.30	598	1.45	498			J
Sample Size (<i>n</i>) Average NW (\overline{X}) 502.8		45 502.822		Confidence Level (1-α) 0.99 Student-t (k) 2.692278266				
Std. Dev. (s)		15.0925	7627 grams	grams Lowest NW (sample) 496.3574368 gram] grams	
RSD		3.0%	6 Extrapolated NW (W) 80451			80451.55556	grams	
uχ		2.24986	8434 grams		UT		80451.556 1034.365662	grams
u _c 2.4		2.40123	2247 grams		Lowest Extrap	plated NW	79417.18989	grams
Hypergeometric Sampling								
Confidence Level (1-α) 0.99 Units inferred to be (+) 21								
Minimum Statutory Wt. 10000 grams Units to be analyzed 3								
Reported Weight Extrapolation *Adjusted N = Units inferred to be (+) = 21 and n = Sample Size = 45								
Reported NW 10559.26667 10559.267*			grams	372.4674	1744 ozs.	23.279	921715 lbs.	
Reported U _T 135.7604932 N/A*		grams	4.788814	1376 ozs.	0.2993	300899 Ibs.		
Lower Limit 10423.50617			grams	367.6786601 ozs. 22.97991625 lbs.				
Upper Limit 10695.02716 grams 377.2562888 ozs. 23.57851805 lbs.								
The overall level of confidence for weight and identity is at least 98.01%.								